

**HPNS Technical Team Meeting Agenda
November 7, 2017, 1300-1400 PT**

1. Welcome and check-in

Navy BRAC – Steve Banister, Pat Brooks, Danielle Janda, Derek Robinson, Thomas Macchiarella

Navy BRAC Consultants – Craig Bias, Scott Hay, Kim Henderson, Kathy Higley, Kira Sykes

RASO – Zach Edwards, Matt Slack

EPA and consultants – Karla Brasaemle, John Chesnutt, Jana Dawson, Brianna Fairbanks, Donna Getty, David Kappelman, Lily Lee, Lyndsey Nguyen, Anita Singh (retired)

DTSC – Nina Bacey, Janet Naito

CDPH – Tracy Jue, Sheetal Singh

City (includes OCII/SFDPH and consultants) – Amy Brownell, Bob Burns, Christina Rain

Water Board – Tina Low, Tina Ures

2. Status Update

- Parcels B and G soil report
 - i. Draft to Technical Team - 9/29
 - ii. Parcel G comments received – as of 10/24
 - iii. Parcel B comments pending – Some preliminary comments were received from EPA. EPA said that BRAC management recently reprioritized EPA on the path forward approach and EPA put the Parcel B form reviews on hold. There is a managers meeting this afternoon to discuss the path forward. Pending the meeting, EPA may be able to reprioritize to complete Parcel B comments. CDPH should have comments ready in a couple days and DTSC comments are pending EPA's form reviews.
 - iv. Path forward for addressing comments – We are working on responses to the Parcel G comments and incorporating into the report. The draft responses and redline will be provided to the Navy this week for review.
- Parcels D-2, UC-1, UC-2, and UC-3 soil report
 - i. Draft to Technical Team – 10/31 - Comments are due 11/14. Comments received on the Parcels B and G report text have not yet been addressed based on timing. EPA will review as quickly as possible.
- Parcel C soil report
 - i. Pre-draft to Navy – 10/27, pending review
 - ii. Draft to Technical Team – 11/17
- Parcel E soil report
 - i. Pre-draft to Navy – 12/1
 - ii. Draft to Technical Team – 12/22
- The NRC asked Lily about whether soil data were reviewed for repeated numbers. The soil data in FRED were reviewed through statistics within each parcel and there was no sign

of repeated numbers. The soil data in FRED is from lab reports in the final FSSRs and there were not allegations regarding lab reports. The Team discussed the potential for manipulation of scan data; however, most of the data is not available in an electronic database and it was not used to make the final determinations.

- Work planning documents
 - i. Meeting on soil and building approach – week of 11/27? - 11/29 worked best for the Team, specifics on the location and times will be provided separately.
 - ii. Pending work plan
 - 1. Redlined UFP-SAP to Technical Team
 - 2. TSPs

- Soil investigation path forward
 - i. Drilling and in-situ soil sampling
 - ii. Excavation, in-situ gamma scanning soil sampling for trench units; transfer of fill unit soil to RSY pads, gamma scanning and soil sampling
 - iii. Pat indicated that this topic was included on the agenda for the Team to start thinking about investigating the site based on current conditions in accordance with MARSSIM and what number of samples may be acceptable. This is based on the Parcel G comments and shift in the objective from identifying potential falsification to re-evaluating the parcel. It is a large undertaking (~\$200M and ~4 years) to redo all TtEC's work. The Team discussed collecting new background data and the Navy's recommendation to calculate and use a 95% UCL rather than using the mean as part of the release criteria. Sheetal and Nina indicated that the mean is typically used for ROCs at BRAC sites and requested an example for where the 95% UCL has been used. Danielle indicated that if the release criteria were obtainable, the Navy would not be proposing a new approach; however, the current release criteria are within the noise of background and the Team will need to determine how to deal with that moving forward. The Team decided to await the managers meeting this afternoon to discuss further.

3. Schedule and topics for future calls/meetings

- Next call: Tuesday 11/21, 1000-1100 AM PT
- Next meeting: week of 11/27? 11/29 worked best for the Team, specifics on the location and times will be provided separately.